

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET-NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,665	11/22/1999		BRIGITTE FALCONNIER	P64053US0	7027
136	7590	10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
JACOBSO 400 SEVEN			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD		
SUITE 600	mone	L1 14.44.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC	20004	1761		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>) (</i>			
ear S.,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/423,665	FALCONNIER, BRIGI	TTE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.	1761				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	ss			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e pix (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati poperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reion. c, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON is statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status			•				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9/16/04.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un			erits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) <u>46-70</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>46-70</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
-	Applicant may not request that any objection t	-	` ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to by the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oa						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	je			
Attachmen	t(s)						
Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	Immary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94t nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date´. formal Patent Application (PTO-152))			

Application/Control Number: 09/423,665

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf et al. (USPN 4,835,002)("Wolf") in view of Brun et al. (USPN 4,944,956)("Brun").

Wolf teaches the production of microemulsions of oil in water and alcohol. The alcohol can be ethanol (col. 4, lines 12-13). The surfactant can be those that are useful in beverages, including lecithin or hydroxylated lecithin. (Col. 4, line 48 to col. 5, line 28). In examples 6-13, it is shown that the emulsified particles had a particle size at the higher level of the 100 to 600A (10-60 nanometers) particle size range peculiar to microemulsified particles. The beverages can be sold in "clear liquid form" (col. 9, line 54 to col. 10 line 60). Wolf does not teach the addition of anethole.

Brun teaches the production of an alcoholic beverage such as pastis that contain anethole at 2 grams/liter (col. 1, lines 9-15). It would have been obvious to include anethole, which is notoriulsy well known and used in the beverage industry, as shown by Brun, in the beverages of Wolf as those in the beverage industry commonly use anethole to produce pastis.

Again, applicant also claims the use of antioxidants, such as tocopherol or tea extracts. These are notoriously well known and used in the food art and it would have

Application/Control Number: 09/423,665

Art Unit: 1761

been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to use said additives for their art recognized functions.

Similarly, Applicant claims the inclusion of phenolic substances, such as licorice, cola, tannins, grape extracts, etc., most of which are used in the food art for various reasons, such as flavorings, etc. Their use in the prior art beverage is would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to use said additives for their art recognized functions.

Finally, Applicant's attention is invited to *In re Levin*, 84 U.S.P.Q. 232 and the cases cited therein, which are considered in point in the fact situation of the instant case, and wherein the Court stated on page 234 as follows:

This court has taken the position that new recipes or formulas for cooking food which involve the addition or elimination of common ingredients, or for treating them in ways which differ from the former practice, do not amount to invention, merely because it is not disclosed that, in the constantly developing art of preparing food, no one else ever did the particular thing upon which the applicant asserts his right to a patent. In all such cases, there is nothing patentable unless the applicant by a proper showing further establishes a coaction or cooperative relationship between the selected ingredients which produces a new, unexpected, and useful function. *In re Benjamin D. White*, 17 C.C.P.A (Patents) 956, 39 F.2d 974, 5 U.S.P.Q. 267; *In re Mason et al.*, 33 C.C.P.A. (Patents) 1144, 156 F.2d 189, 70 U.S.P.Q. 221.

The means used to produce the microemulsion is considered to be a process step that is not limiting on the product claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1761

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gaonkar (USPN 5,322,704) teaches methods for preparing multiple emulsions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-1406. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761